## Determination of the Absolute Configuration of Primary Amines in Polar NMR Solvents

Hee Choon Ahn and Kihang Choi\*

Department of Chemistry and Center for Electro- and Photo-Responsive Molecules, Korea University, Seoul 136-701, Korea

(Received August 24, 2007; CL-070910; E-mail: kchoi@korea.ac.kr)

*N*-(2-Nitrophenyl)proline (2-NPP) can be used in a wide range of solvents as an effective chiral auxiliary for the absolute configuration assignment of  $\alpha$ -chiral primary amines. Even in competitive hydrogen-bonding solvents, 2-NPP amides of primary amines retain the conformation preference for intramolecular hydrogen bonding and produce significant anisotropic effects on the amine substituents. As a result, the absolute configuration can be assigned by comparing the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of diastereomeric 2-NPP amides recorded in polar NMR solvents.

<sup>1</sup>HNMR spectroscopy is one of the most convenient and widely used methods to assign the absolute configuration of chiral compounds in solution.<sup>1</sup> In general, the chiral molecule is derivatized with the two enantiomers of a chiral derivatizing agent (CDA) and the NMR spectra are compared to obtain the chemical shift difference between the two resulting diastereomers ( $\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}} = \delta(\text{R}) - \delta(\text{S})$ ). Proper analysis of the  $\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}}$  values based on the diastereomer conformations can lead to the absolute configuration assignment of the chiral substrate. Among several CDAs available for the configuration assignment of  $\alpha$ -chiral primary amines,  $\alpha$ -methoxyphenylacetic acid (MPA)<sup>2</sup> and  $\alpha$ methoxytrifluoromethylphenylacetic acid (MTPA)<sup>3</sup> are the two most widely used reagents.<sup>4</sup> For these reagents, however, the range of the solvent systems is limited; because the magnitude of  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values is small and sensitive to solvent polarity, the analysis of MPA and MTPA amides has been carried out in relatively nonpolar solvents such as CDCl<sub>3</sub> and CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.<sup>1,5,6</sup>

Recently, we have discovered that N-(2-nitrophenyl)proline (2-NPP) amides of  $\alpha$ -chiral primary amines produce significantly large  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.<sup>7</sup> In this solvent, the lowest energy conformation of 2-NPP amides is stabilized by a hydrogenbonding network connecting the amide hydrogen, proline nitrogen, and nitro oxygen atoms (Figure 1), and the amine substituents R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> are under different anisotropic effect. We envisioned that this intramolecular-bifurcated hydrogen bond (Hbond) might control the 2-NPP amide conformation even in competitive hydrogen-bonding solvents, like methanol and acetone, so that the 2-NPP method could be extended to the solvent systems with better solubilizing properties for polar compounds. Here, we report that 2-NPP can be used as an effective CDA in polar solvents including dimethylsulfoxide- $d_6$  ((CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO), a highly polar solvent frequently used for the NMR analysis of organic compounds insoluble in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

To test the solvent dependence of the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  value, the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (*R*)- and (*S*)-2-NPP amides of L-leucine methyl ester (NPP–Leu–OMe) were recorded in five common NMR solvents (Table 1).<sup>8</sup> The  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values obtained in these solvents have the same signs as those obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, suggesting that 2-NPP amides retain the same conformational preference in the tested solvents. As expected, the largest  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values



**Figure 1.** Conformational preference of (R)- and (S)-2-NPP amides. The hydrogen bonds connecting proline N, nitro O, and amide NH atoms are indicated by dashed lines.

**Table 1.** Selected  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values of NPP–Leu–OMe (R<sup>1</sup> = CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, R<sup>2</sup> = CH<sub>2</sub>CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) obtained in various NMR solvents

Solvent	$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{a}}$	$pK_{HB}^{b}$	OCH <sub>3</sub>	$C(CH_3)_2$
CDCl <sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup>	4.8	_	+0.26	-0.31/-0.30
$C_5D_5N$	12.4	1.86	+0.10	-0.16/-0.14
$(CD_3)_2CO$	20.7	1.18	+0.17	-0.23/-0.20
CD <sub>3</sub> OD	32.7	0.82	+0.15	-0.24/-0.20
CD <sub>3</sub> CN	37.5	0.91	+0.16	-0.25/-0.20
$(CD_3)_2SO$	46.7	2.58	+0.04	-0.10/-0.05

<sup>a</sup>From ref 11. <sup>b</sup>The value reported for the corresponding nondeuterated solvent in ref 9b. <sup>c</sup>From ref 7.

were observed when the spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and smaller values in the hydrogen-bonding solvents. While there is some relationship between the solvent dielectric constant ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) and the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  value, it is clear that the solvent polarity scale is not sufficient to account for the observed variations.

To study the effect of solvent competition on the intramolecular H bonds and, in turn, on the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values, hydrogen-bonding properties of the solvents were compared. Figure 2 shows the correlation between the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  absolute value ( $|\Delta \delta^{RS}|$ ) and the H-bond acceptor scale ( $pK_{HB}$ ) of the solvent, originally developed by Taft and co-workers to quantify the strength of H-bond acceptors.<sup>9</sup> In general, smaller  $|\Delta \delta^{RS}|$  values were observed in better H-bond-accepting solvents presumably because solvent competition reduces the population of the representative conformation stabilized by the intramolecular H-bonds. One noticeable exception was CD<sub>3</sub>OD, which has both H-bondaccepting and -donating properties so its competitive hydrogen-bonding ability could not be estimated properly from the H-bond accepter scale alone.

Among the tested solvents, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO is the best at hydro-



**Figure 2.** Correlation of the  $|\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}}|$  of NPP–Leu–OMe with solvent H-bond acceptor strength ( $\bigcirc$ , OCH<sub>3</sub>;  $\triangledown$  and  $\bigoplus$ , C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).



**Figure 3.** Selected  $\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}}$  values of diastereomeric 2-NPP amides (R = 2-NPP). Chemical shift data were collected in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO.

gen-bond-accepting ability with a strongly polarized bond to oxygen. Although the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values obtained in  $(CD_3)_2SO$  are significantly smaller than those obtained in other solvents, the absolute values are still comparable to the values reported for the corresponding MPA and MTPA amides in  $CDCl_3$ ,<sup>5,10</sup> suggesting that 2-NPP can be used in  $(CD_3)_2SO$  as a CDA producing modest  $\Delta \delta$  values.

To check the general applicability of 2-NPP in  $(CD_3)_2SO$ , we examined more 2-NPP amides with diverse structures. The <sup>1</sup>H signals from the chiral substrate were assigned using COSY and other NMR spectroscopic methods, and their chemical shifts were compared to obtain the  $\Delta\delta^{RS}$  values, which are summarized in Figure 3 along with the structures of the amines. In all cases, the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values are positive for the R<sup>1</sup> substituent and negative for the R<sup>2</sup> substituent and this general trend is fully consistent with the representative conformational models shown in Figure 1. These results demonstrate that the spatial positions of the R<sup>1</sup>/R<sup>2</sup> substituents and, in turn, the absolute configuration of the chiral center can be assigned successfully on the basis of the  $\Delta \delta^{RS}$  values obtained in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO.

In summary, we have shown that 2-NPP can be used to assign the absolute configuration of  $\alpha$ -chiral amines in commonly used polar solvents. Solvent effects on the  $\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}}$  value could be understood in terms of solvent competition on hydrogen-bonding interactions. Even in a highly competitive solvent such as (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO, 2-NPP amides retain the conformational preference for intramolecular hydrogen bonding and produce selective anisotropic effects on the amine substrate. To our knowledge, 2-NPP is the first CDA for primary amines providing modest to large  $\Delta \delta^{\text{RS}}$  values in a wide range of solvents. This 2-NPP method should find its application to amine compounds which are insoluble in nonpolar solvent systems recommended for the MPA and other methods.

This work was supported by a Korea University Grant and the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation through CRM of Korea University.

## **References and Notes**

- a) J. M. Seco, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, *Chem. Rev.* 2004, 104, 17. b) T. J. Wenzel, *Discrimination of Chiral Compounds* Using NMR Spectroscopy, Wiley-Interscience, Hoboken, NJ, 2007.
- 2 B. M. Trost, J. L. Belletire, S. Godleski, P. G. Mcdougal, J. M. Balkovec, J. J. Baldwin, M. E. Christy, G. S. Ponticello, S. L. Varga, J. P. Springer, *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2370.
- 3 a) J. A. Dale, H. S. Mosher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512. b)
   I. Ohtani, T. Kusumi, Y. Kashman, H. Kakisawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4092.
- 4 For other CDAs for amines, see: a) T. Oshikawa, M. Yamashita, S. Kumagai, K. Seo, J. Kobayashi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995, 435. b) R. Chinchilla, L. R. Falvello, C. Najera, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7285. c) Harada, Y. Shimizu, K. Fujii, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6245. d) J. M. Seco, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4669. e) Y. Takeuchi, M. Segawa, H. Fujisawa, K. Omata, S. N. Lodwig, C. J. Unkefer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4617.
- 5 S. K. Latypov, J. M. Seco, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, J. Org. Chem. **1995**, 60, 1538.
- 6 MPA has been used in a single derivatization method where the Ba<sup>2+</sup>-induced chemical shift changes are monitored in CD<sub>3</sub>CN. See: a) B. López, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, 121, 9724. b) R. García, J. M. Seco, S. A. Vázquez, E. Quiñoá, R. Riguera, J. Org. Chem. **2006**, 71, 1119.
- 7 H. C. Ahn, K. Choi, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3853.
- 8 For the synthesis of the 2-NPP amides, see Ref. 7.
- 9 a) D. Gurka, R. W. Taft, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4794. b)
  C. Laurence, M. Berthelot, Perspect. Drug Discovery Des.
  2000, 18, 39. c) C. Reichardt, Solvents and Solvent Effects in Organic Chemistry, 3rd ed., Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- 10 T. Kusumi, T. Fukushima, I. Ohtani, H. Kakisawa, Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2939.
- 11 NMR Solvent Data Chart, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc., Andover, MA.